

To: Kessler, Katrina (MPCA)[katrina.kessler@state.mn.us]; Engelking, Pat (MPCA)[pat.engelking@state.mn.us]; Swain, Ed (MPCA)[edward.swain@state.mn.us]; Monson, Phil (MPCA)[phil.monson@state.mn.us]; Bael, David (MPCA)[David.Bael@state.mn.us]
From: Lotthammer, Shannon (MPCA)
Sent: Wed 7/15/2015 7:29:37 PM
Subject: FW: Wild Rice Sulfate

FYI

From: Steven Nyhus [swnyhus@flaherty-hood.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 1:54 PM
To: Lotthammer, Shannon (MPCA)
Cc: Tracy Ekola
Subject: Wild Rice Sulfate

Good afternoon Shannon ,

Following up on another participant's comment, I would also appreciate some more explanation as to why the MPCA is proposing to go with EC10 rather than EC20. If EC10 is designed to protect species of special concern, I do not see any indication that wild rice has ever been identified by the MDNR as fitting into that category. Any concentration lower than EC20 would seem be indistinguishable from control.

Thank you.

Steven W. Nyhus, Senior Attorney

Flaherty & Hood, P.A.

525 Park Street, Suite 470

St. Paul, MN 55103

Office 651-225-8840

Toll-Free 1-877-846-4662

Fax 651-225-9088

<http://www.linkedin.com/in/stevennyhus>

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

NOTE: The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for use by the individual or entity named. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to this e-mail and destroying the original e-mail and any attachments thereto.
